consumer product recall programs, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Durbin, his name was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4105 proposed to S. 2663, supra.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. DOMENICI:

S. 2716. A bill to authorize the National Guard to provide support for the border control activities of the United States Customs and Border Protection of the Department of Homeland Security, and for other purposes; read the first time.

Mr. DOMENICI Mr. President, I rise today to introduce a bill that builds upon border security successes achieved as part of Operation Jump Start by continuing that effort and allowing Governors to use their respective State's National Guard units for border activities in support of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, CBP.

As a border State Senator, I know firsthand the need to secure our international borders because every day I hear from constituents who must deal with illegal entries into our country. We have a crisis on our borders, and the status quo is not acceptable.

I also know firsthand the improvements in border security we have made over the past few years. One of those successes has come in the form of Operation Jumpstart, which was an initiative begun in the summer of 2006 to allow National Guardsmen from across America to deploy to the southwest border in support of CBP. This program proved successful almost immediately. During the summer of 2006, Border Patrol agents apprehended more than 2,500 illegal immigrants in about 6 weeks with the support of National Guardsmen. Tens of thousands of pounds of illegal drugs were seized during the same time period.

The program is also beneficial to the National Guard. Deploying as part of Operation Jumpstart has allowed these men and women to gain valuable training in areas including construction, vehicle maintenance, technology support, aviation support, intelligence support, surveillance and reconnaissance support, and intelligence analysis.

Despite these successes, Operation Jumpstart is being phased out; there are fewer National Guardsmen on the border today than there were a year ago. I believe to phase out this mutually beneficial work between CBP and the National Guard is a mistake, and National Guardsmen should be able to continue helping to secure our border.

For that reason, I am introducing legislation that addresses this need in two ways. First, the bill calls for the continuation of Operation Jumpstart at its initial level of 6,000 guardsmen on the southwest border until we have control of that border. Second, the bill expands existing Federal law that allows Governors to utilize their State's

guardsmen for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities to allow Governors to also utilize their State's guardsmen for border control activities, including constructing roads, fences, and vehicle barriers, conducting search and rescue missions, gathering intelligence, repairing infrastructure, and otherwise supporting CBP. The legislation provides that in order to utilize guardsmen for border activities, Governors must submit plans to the Secretary of Defense regarding the use of the Guard, and the plans must be approved by the Secretary of Defense in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security. Additionally, the Secretary of Defense would be required to submit an annual report to Congress regarding the activities carried out as part of this work under my bill.

Mr. President, I believe our National Guardsmen are an invaluable asset in securing our borders, and I believe guardsmen should be able to continue working on the border.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 473—DESIG-NATING MARCH 26, 2008, AS "NA-TIONAL SUPPORT THE TROOPS AND THEIR FAMILIES DAY" AND ENCOURAGING THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES TO PAR-TICIPATE IN A MOMENT OF SI-LENCE TO REFLECT UPON THE SERVICE AND SACRIFICE MEMBERS $_{
m OF}$ ARMED THEFORCES BOTH AT HOME AND ABROAD. AS WELL AS THE SAC-RIFICES OF THEIR FAMILIES

Ms. STABENOW (for herself, Mr. LEVIN, and Mr. VOINOVICH) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

$S.\ Res.\ 473$

Whereas it was through the brave and noble efforts of the Nation's forefathers that the United States first gained freedom and became a sovereign country;

Whereas there are more than 1,500,000 active and reserve component members of the Armed Forces serving the Nation in support and defense of the values and freedom that all Americans cherish;

Whereas the members of the Armed Forces deserve the utmost respect and admiration of their fellow Americans for putting their lives in danger for the sake of the freedoms enjoyed by all Americans;

Whereas members of the Armed Forces are defending freedom and democracy around the globe and are playing a vital role in protecting the safety and security of Americans;

Whereas the families of our Nation's troops have made great sacrifices and deserve the support of all Americans;

Whereas all Americans should participate in a moment of silence to support the troops and their families; and

Whereas March 26th, 2008, is designated as "National Support Our Troops and Their Families Day": Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That-

(1) the Senate designates March 26, 2008, as "National Support the Troops and Their Families Day"; and

(2) it is the sense of the Senate that all Americans should participate in a moment of silence to reflect upon the service and sacrifice of members of the United States Armed Forces both at home and abroad, as well as their families.

SENATE RESOLUTION 474—EX-PRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT**PROVIDING** BREAKFAST TN SCHOOLS THROUGH THE NATIONAL SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM HAS A POSITIVE IMPACT ON THE LIVES AND CLASSROOM PER-FORMANCE OF LOW-INCOME CHILDREN

Mr. FEINGOLD (for himself, Mr. KOHL, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Mr. DOMENICI, Mr. CASEY, Mr. KERRY, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. DURBIN, and Mr. DODD) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 474

Whereas participants in the National School Breakfast Program established under section 4 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1773) include public, private, elementary, middle, and high schools, as well as schools in rural, suburban, and urban areas:

Whereas access to nutrition programs such as the National School Lunch Program and the National School Breakfast Program helps to create a stronger learning environment for children and improves children's concentration in the classroom:

Whereas missing breakfast and the resulting hunger has been shown to harm the ability of children to learn and hinders academic performance;

Whereas students who eat a complete breakfast have been shown to make fewer mistakes and to work faster in math exercises than those who eat a partial breakfast;

Whereas implementing or improving classroom breakfast programs has been shown to increase breakfast consumption among eligible students dramatically, doubling and in some cases tripling numbers of participants in school breakfast programs, as evidenced by research in Minnesota, New York, and Wisconsin:

Whereas providing breakfast in the classroom has been shown in several instances to improve attentiveness and academic performance, while reducing absences, tardiness, and disciplinary referrals:

Whereas studies suggest that eating breakfast closer to the time students arrive in the classroom and take tests improves the students' performance on standardized tests;

Whereas studies show that students who skip breakfast are more likely to have difficulty distinguishing among similar images, show increased errors, and have slower memory recall;

Whereas children who live in families that experience hunger are likely to have lower math scores, receive more special education services, and face an increased likelihood of repeating a grade;

Whereas making breakfast widely available in different venues or in a combination of venues, such as by providing breakfast in the classroom, in the hallways outside classrooms, or to students as they exit their school buses, has been shown to lessen the stigma of receiving free or reduced-price school breakfasts, which sometimes prevents eligible students from obtaining traditional breakfast in the cafeteria;

Whereas, in fiscal year 2006, 7,700,000 students in the United States consumed free or reduced-price school breakfasts provided under the National School Breakfast Program:

Whereas less than half of the low-income students who participate in the National School Lunch Program also participate in the National School Breakfast Program;

Whereas almost 17,000 schools that participate in the National School Lunch Program do not participate in the National School Breakfast Program;

Whereas studies suggest that children who eat breakfast take in more nutrients, such as calcium, fiber, protein, and vitamins A, E, D, and B-6:

Whereas studies show that children who participate in school breakfast programs eat more fruits, drink more milk, and consume less saturated fat than those who do not eat breakfast: and

Whereas children who do not eat breakfast, either in school or at home, are more likely to be overweight than children who eat a healthy breakfast on a daily basis: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

- (1) recognizes the importance of the National School Breakfast Program established under section 4 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1773) and the positive impact of the Program on the lives of low-income children and families and on children's overall classroom performance;
- (2) expresses strong support for States that have successfully implemented school breakfast programs in order to alleviate hunger and improve the test scores and grades of participating students;
- (3) encourages all States to strengthen their school breakfast programs, provide incentives for the expansion of school breakfast programs, and promote improvements in the nutritional quality of breakfasts served; and
- (4) recognizes the need to provide States with resources to improve the availability of adequate and nutritious breakfasts.

$\begin{array}{c} {\rm AMENDMENTS} \ {\rm SUBMITTED} \ {\rm AND} \\ {\rm PROPOSED} \end{array}$

SA 4108. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, to reform the Consumer Product Safety Commission to provide greater protection for children's products, to improve the screening of noncompliant consumer products, to improve the effectiveness of consumer product recall programs, and for other purposes.

SA 4109. Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. BROWN, and Ms. LANDRIEU) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra.

SA 4110. Mr. KERRY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table

on the table. SA 4111. Mr. KOHL (for himself, Mr. GRAHAM, and Mr. LEAHY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4112. Mrs. BOXER (for herself, Mr. COLEMAN, and Mr. MARTINEZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4113. Mr. REID (for Mr. OBAMA (for himself and Mr. CARDIN)) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by Mr. REID to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4114. Mr. REID (for Mr. OBAMA) sub-

SA 4114. Mr. REID (for Mr. OBAMA) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by Mr. REID to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4115. Mr. KYL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4116. Mr. KYL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4117. Mr. KYL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4118. Mr. KYL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4119. Ms. LANDRIEU submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table

to lie on the table.

SA 4120. Ms. LANDRIEU (for herself and Mrs. BOXER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table

SA 4121. Mr. BUNNING (for himself and Ms. STABENOW) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4122. Mr. DORGAN proposed an amendment to the bill S. 2663, supra.

SA 4123. Ms. COLLINS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4124. Mr. DEMINT proposed an amendment to the bill S. 2663, supra.

SA 4125. Mr. COBURN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4126. Mrs. BOXER (for herself, Mrs.

SA 4126. Mrs. BOXER (for herself, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. CARDIN, and Mr. LAUTENBERG) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4127. Mrs. BOXER (for herself and Mr. KENNEDY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

\$A\'4128. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4129. Ms. SNOWE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table

SA 4130. Mr. NELSON of Florida (for himself and Ms. Klobuchar) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4131. Mr. BROWN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4132. Mr. BROWN (for himself and Mr. CASEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4133. Ms. SNOWE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2663, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 4108. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, to reform the Consumer Product Safety Commission to provide greater protection for children's products, to improve the screening of noncompliant consumer products, to improve the effectiveness of consumer product recall programs, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 63, strike line 6 and all that follows through page 64, line 6, and insert the following:

in an amount not to exceed \$15,000 for costs and expenses (including attorneys' and expert witness fees) reasonably incurred, as determined by the Secretary, by the complainant for, or in connection with, the bringing of the complaint upon which the order was issued.

"(C) If the Secretary finds that a complaint under paragraph (1) is frivolous or has been brought in bad faith, the Secretary may award to the prevailing employer a reasonable attorneys' fee, not exceeding \$15,000, to be paid by the complainant.

"(4)(A) If the Secretary has not issued a final decision within 210 days after the filing of the complaint, or within 90 days after receiving a written determination, the complainant may bring an action at law or equity for review in the appropriate district court of the United States with jurisdiction, which shall have jurisdiction over such an action without regard to the amount in controversy, and which action shall, at the request of either party to such action, be tried by the court with a jury. The proceedings shall be governed by the same legal burdens of proof specified in paragraph (2)(B).

"(B) In an action brought under subparagraph (A), the court may grant injunctive relief and compensatory damages to the complainant. The court may also grant any other monetary relief to the complainant available at law or equity, not exceeding a total amount of \$50,000, including consequential damages, reasonable attorneys and expert witness fees, court costs, and punitive damages.

"(C) If the court finds that an action brought under subparagraph (A) is frivolous or has been brought in bad faith, the court may award to the prevailing employer a reasonable attorneys' fee, not exceeding \$15,000, to be paid by the complainant.

SA 4109. Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. Brown, and Ms. Landrieu) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2663, to reform the Consumer Product Safety Commission to provide greater protection for children's products, to improve the screening of noncompliant consumer products, to improve the effectiveness of consumer product recall programs, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 103, after line 12, add the following:

SEC. 40. CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY STAND-ARDS USE OF FORMALDEHYDE IN TEXTILE AND APPAREL ARTICLES.

- (a) STUDY ON USE OF FORMALDEHYDE IN MANUFACTURING OF TEXTILE AND APPAREL ARTICLES.—Not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Consumer Product Safety Commission shall conduct a study on the use of formaldehyde in the manufacture of textile and apparel articles, or in any component of such articles, to identify any risks to consumers caused by the use of formaldehyde in the manufacturing of such articles, or components of such articles.
- (b) CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY STANDARD.—Not later than 3 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Consumer Product Safety Commission shall prescribe a consumer product safety standard under section 7(a) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2056(a)) with respect to textile and apparel articles, and components of such articles, in which formaldehyde was used in the manufacture thereof.
- (c) RULE TO ESTABLISH TESTING PROGRAM.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Consumer Product Safety Commission